

Contents

List of Figures	15
List of Tables	17
1. Introduction	19
1.1 The Micro-Integration of Europe through Inter-Regional Projects	19
1.1.1 Theoretical Framework: Differentiated Learning within the European Union	20
1.1.2 The Empirical Analysis: Cooperation and Lesson-Drawing within Interreg	23
1.2 Research Design: A Qualitative Network Analysis of an Inter-Regional Case Study	25
2. Differentiation through Inter-Regional Learning: Heterogeneity and the Need for Micro-Integration	28
2.1 Integration despite Heterogeneity: The Softening and Differentiation of Integration	30
2.1.1 The Environment for Integration: The Complexity of the ‘European Union’	31
2.1.2 Differentiated Governance in Europe: From Hierarchical to Soft Governance	32
2.1.3 Differentiated Integration: From the Integrated Union to the Learning Union	34
2.2 From Heterogeneity to Micro-Integration: Making Use of Learning and Lesson-Drawing	39
2.2.1 Defining Learning: Processes, Concepts and Typologies	40
2.2.1.1 European Learning on Policies: From Policy Transfer to Lesson-Drawing	41
2.2.2 Defining Lesson-Drawing: Learning from Experiences on Existing Policies	44

2.2.3	Categorising Lesson-Drawing: From the Copying of Policies to Inspiration	45
2.2.3.1	The Preparation of Learning: Scanning and Understanding Lessons	46
2.2.3.2	First Degree: Copying or Emulating Programmes on One-to-One Basis	46
2.2.3.3	Second Degree: Mixing Programmes from Different Places	47
2.2.3.4	Third Degree: Inspiration and Cherry-Picking from Existing Programmes	48
2.3	From Heterogeneity to Differentiation: Spatial and Functional Micro-Integration in Europe	49
2.3.1	Differentiation in the European Reality: The Roots of the Political Debate	50
2.3.2	Differentiation in Theory: Flexible, Non-Homogeneous Integration in Europe	51
2.3.2.1	Defining Differentiation and its Consequences	52
2.3.3	The Early Concepts: Differentiation of the Grand Steps of Integration	54
2.3.3.1	The Contrasting View: Community Method of Homogeneous Integration	56
2.3.3.2	Multi-Speed Differentiation: Temporary Need for Flexibility	56
2.3.3.3	À la Carte Differentiation: Voluntary Interest-based Differentiation	58
2.3.3.4	Spatial Differentiation: Variable Geography of a Deepened Integration	58
2.3.3.5	Limitations: State-Centric Perspective on the Grand Steps of Integration	59
2.3.4	Recent Developments: Soft and Multi-Level Differentiation in the European Union	60
2.3.4.1	The Role of Space and Levels in Differentiated Integration	65
2.3.4.2	The ‘Softening’ of Differentiated Integration	66

2.4	Conclusions: Differentiated Micro-Integration through Inter-Regional Projects	67
2.4.1	The European Micro-Integration: Opening the Blackbox of Integration	68
2.4.2	The Functional and Spatial Differentiation of Europe: Voluntary Learning à la Carte	70
3.	Micro-Integration through Its Instruments: The Role of Inter-Regional Cooperation in Europe	74
3.1	Differentiation by Its Instruments: The Network-Turn in European Integration	75
3.1.1	Definitions and Analytical Perspectives of Governance Studies	75
3.1.1.1	Positioning Networks in the Governance Studies – From Hierarchies to Networks	77
3.1.2	Policy Networks: Definitions and Legitimacy in the European Policy-Making	79
3.1.2.1	The Actors and their Relations: Horizontal Interactions between Policy-Makers	81
3.1.3	The Functional and Spatial Dimensions of Policy Networks	84
3.2	The European Microcosm for Learning: The Interreg Programmes	87
3.2.1	The Objective of Interreg: Policy Learning and Transfer between European Regions	88
3.2.2	The European Dimension: Implementing European Strategies through Projects	91
3.2.3	The Project Dimension: Inter-Regional Networks of Regional Policy-Makers	92
3.2.3.1	The ‘Learning’ Actors: Expert Networks of Regional Policy-Makers	93
3.2.3.2	The Mechanisms of Learning: ‘Soft’ Cooperation and Exchange	95
3.2.4	The Instruments of Policy Transfer: The Transfer of Knowledge on Regional Policies	97
3.2.4.1	Scanning Programmes: Benchmarking and Good Practice Identification	98

3.2.4.2	Drawing Lessons: Transferring Good Practices Between Regions	98
3.3	The Challenges to Learning in Interreg: Institutional Complexity and Spatial Distance	100
3.3.1	Transferring Regional Policies: Functional Complexity of the Lessons	101
3.3.2	Institutional and Cognitive Distance: Learning between Distanced Actors	104
3.3.3	Spatial Distance: Learning between Distanced and Diverse Regions	105
3.4	Summary: Network Governance in Inter-Regional “Micro-Europes”	107
4.	Research Design and Methods: Qualitative Network Analysis of an In-Depth Case Study	110
4.1	Research Design: In-Depth Single Case Study of Interreg IVC Project “Know-Man”	110
4.2	Research Methods: Qualitative Network Analysis for Understanding Learning Processes	114
4.3	Data Collection: Mixed-Method Design for Understanding Cooperation and Learning	116
4.3.1	Building the Quantitative Fundament: Questionnaires and Visualisation	118
4.3.2	Bringing in the Qualitative Approach: Interviews and Document Analysis	121
4.4	Data Analysis: Qualitative Content Analysis and Visualisation of Cooperation	124
5.	Interreg as Heterogeneous ‘Micro-Europe’: The Framework for Cooperation and Learning in “Know-Man”	127
5.1	The Heterogeneity within “Know-Man”: Learning and Cooperation across Distances	129
5.1.1	The Framework of “Know-Man”: Functional Network on Innovation Policy	129
5.1.1.1	From the Lisbon Strategy to “Know-Man”: Supporting Innovation in Europe	130
5.1.1.2	“Know-Man” as Policy Network: Loosely Coupled Horizontal Interactions	132

5.1.2	The Regional-Structural Diversity: From Metropolitan to Rural Regions	134
5.1.3	The Institutional and Cognitive Diversity: Diverging Backgrounds of Actor Groups	139
5.1.3.1	The Institutional Diversity: From Public Authorities to Technology Parks	140
5.1.3.2	The Structural-Cognitive Diversity: Experiences and Motivations	142
5.2	The Cooperation Structures in “Know-Man”: The Role of Proximity	144
5.2.1	The Development of the Project: A New and Atypical European Policy Network	145
5.2.1.1	Building of Inter-Regional Network Based on Strategies and Trust	146
5.2.2	The Implementation of the Project: Strong Regionality, Weak Inter-Regionality	148
5.2.2.1	Spatial Proximity Does Matter: Regional Islands of Cooperation	149
5.2.2.2	Bridging Institutional Distances: “Know-Man” as Temporary Platform	153
5.2.3	Summary: Joint Interest Temporary Bridges Distances	156
6.	Heterogeneity and Lesson-Drawing: Learning for Overcoming Spatial and Institutional Distances	159
6.1	Preparing the Lesson-Drawing: Scanning Regional Innovation Programmes	161
6.1.1	Understanding the External Environment: Analysing Demand and Venturing Abroad	162
6.1.1.1	Analysing the Regional Framework through Surveys and Visualisations	163
6.1.1.2	Venturing Abroad: Experiencing the Regional Embedment through Study Visits	166
6.1.2	The Internal Reflection: Understanding Programmes through Model-Building	168
6.1.2.1	The Benchmarking Process: Explicit Knowledge and De-Contextualising	168

6.1.2.2	The Good Practices: Model-Building and Re-Contextualisation	170
6.1.3	Summary: Understanding the External Environment, before the Transfer	172
6.2	Supporting Methodology: Creation of Transfer Networks within “Know-Man”	174
6.3	The Inspiration of a Lesson: Overcoming Heterogeneity through Adaptation	175
6.3.1	The Homogeneous Case: Inspiration of two Spin Off Companies	176
6.3.1.1	The Framework for Learning: Two Approaches towards a Similar Programme	177
6.3.1.2	The Inspired Lesson: Learning on Complementarities and Extending Programmes	178
6.3.2	The Heterogeneous Case: Near-Failed Learning on Internationalisation Programme	180
6.3.2.1	The Framework for Learning: Similar Programmes but Too Diverse Regions	180
6.3.2.2	The Inspired Lesson: Inspiration for Re-Thinking Existing Regional Programme	182
6.3.3	Summary on Inspiration: Inspiration for Diminishing Spatial and Institutional Borders	183
6.4	The Mixture of a Lesson: Learning from the Experiences of Many	184
6.4.1	The Mixture of a Business Plan Competition: “Picking-and-Choosing” despite Diversity	185
6.4.1.1	The Framework for Mixing: Heterogeneous Programmes in Four Regions	185
6.4.2	The Mixed Lesson: Complementing Existing Programme with Best Elements	188
6.4.3	Summary of Mixture: Combining is Possible if Room for Manoeuvre Exists	190

6.5	The Emulation of a Lesson: Transferring European ‘Best Practices’ between Regions	191
6.5.1	The Heterogeneous Case: Transferring a Business Course despite Structural Diversity	192
6.5.1.1	The Framework for Learning: Learning between a Metropolitan and a Rural Region	193
6.5.1.2	The Emulated Lesson: Adaptation to New Regional Framework and Needs	195
6.5.1.3	The Sustainability of the Lesson: Policy Influence through Regional Anchoring	197
6.5.2	The Homogeneous Case: Transferring an Online Platform between Two Metropolises	199
6.5.2.1	The Framework for Learning: Two Metropolitan Regions with Similar Challenges	200
6.5.2.2	The Emulated Lesson: Regional Anchoring and Minor Adjustments needed	204
6.5.2.3	The Sustainability of the Lesson: Importance of Capacity and Willingness	204
6.5.3	Summary on the Emulations: Inter-Regional Impetus for Regional Lesson-Drawing	205
6.5.3.1	Creation of Spatial Proximity Needed for Overcoming Cognitive Hurdles	206
6.5.3.2	Inter-Regional Exchange as Booster for Regional Activity	207
6.5.3.3	Extended Implementation Networks as Regional Snowballs	209
6.6	The Failed Transfers: The Hurdle of Diversity for Inter-Regional Lesson-Drawing	210
6.7	Conclusions on Lesson-Drawing: The Importance of Preparation and Willingness	212
6.8	The Sustainability of Inter-Regional Cooperation and Learning	214
6.8.1	The Spatial Dimension: Temporal Inter-Regionality but Long-Term Regionality	215
6.8.2	Continuing Inter-Regional Cooperation Is Challenged by Spatial Distance	217

Contents

6.8.3	Lasting Effects on Regional Policies Based on Willingness and Capacities to Learn	219
7.	Conclusions and Outlook: “The Micro-Integration of Europe”	224
7.1	The Role of Learning for Integration: Capturing Sub-National Integration Dynamics	225
7.2	Lesson-Drawing despite Heterogeneity: Functional Differentiation through Learning	229
7.3	Final Remarks: Inter-Regional Cooperation as Functional Micro-Integration	232
8.	Annexes to the Dissertation	235
8.1	Data Collection: Exemplary Pre-Interview Network Questionnaire	235
8.2	Data Collection: Exemplary Guidelines for the Expert Interviews	236
8.3	Data Collection: Exemplary After-Know-Man Questionnaire	239
8.4	Data Sources: List of Documents and Citation Rules on the Case Study “Know-Man”	240
9.	Literature	245