

Table of contents

Introduction	11
I. Public reason as a theory of liberalism	11
I.a Varieties of liberalism	11
I.b Justificatory liberalism	13
II. Questioning the dominant view of public reason	20
II.a Consensus and convergence	20
II.b Overview of the argument	24
II.c Content and method	28
Chapter 1: Two models of public reason	33
1.1. Overview of the argument	33
1.2. Two core principles	34
1.2.1 The Principle of Political Liberty	34
1.2.2 Some misgivings about the presumption in favor of liberty	38
1.2.3 The site of public reason	42
1.2.4 Rejecting the Derivative Justification Thesis	44
1.2.5 The principle of public justification	46
1.3. Consensus and convergence	48
1.3.1 The concept of public justification and its conceptions	48
1.3.2 Strong and weak consensus	49
1.3.3 Private and public reasons	53
1.3.4 Three levels of publicness	54
1.4. Conclusiveness as a requirement of public reason	56
1.4.1 The role of religious reasons	56
1.4.2 Rawls on the overlapping consensus	61
1.4.3 Deepening the problem	63
1.4.4 Two kinds of defeaters	66
1.4.5 Conclusive justification	69
1.4.6 The Conclusiveness Thesis	71
1.4.7 A first presumption in favor of convergence	73
1.5. Can consensus models consistently exclude private reasons?	74
1.5.1 The Freestandingness Thesis	74
1.5.2 Procedures of abstraction	76
1.5.3 Undermined freestanding reasons are not shareable	79
1.5.4 Rebutted freestanding reasons are not shareable	81

1.5.5	Suspended freestanding reasons are not shareable	84
1.5.6	Weak Shareability Requirement?	85
1.5.7	A twofold presumption in favor of convergence	88
1.6	Two families of arguments for consensus	90
1.6.1	A challenge for consensus	90
1.6.2	Strength-based arguments for consensus	91
1.6.3	Appropriateness-based arguments for consensus	93
1.6.4	Limits of appropriateness-based arguments for consensus	95
1.7	Summary	96
Chapter 2:	Strength-based arguments for consensus	99
2.1.	Overview of the argument	99
2.2.	Four conceptions of objectivity	100
2.2.1	Public reasons as objective reasons	100
2.2.2	Four strength-based arguments for consensus	103
2.3.	The top-down argument for consensus	106
2.3.1	The basic argument	106
2.3.2	Strong externalism	110
2.3.3	Moderate externalism	112
2.3.4	Internalism	114
2.4.	Open justification	117
2.4.1	Open vs. closed justification	117
2.4.2	Open justification and internal coherence	117
2.4.3	Rejecting the top-down argument for consensus	120
2.5.	The discourse-theoretical argument: a radical version	122
2.5.1	The basic (radical) argument	122
2.5.2	Some important remarks	125
2.5.3	Post-metaphysical objectivity	127
2.5.4	Ethical discourses and open justification	129
2.6.	Public reason and post-metaphysical rationality	133
2.6.1	Are private reasons necessarily defeated?	133
2.6.2	Validity claims	134
2.6.3	The asymmetry between rightness claims and truth claims	137
2.6.4	A discourse-theoretical approach to universalization	140
2.6.5	Discourse theory and epistemic pluralism	143
2.7	Some provisional results	144
2.7.1	Rejecting some major arguments for consensus	144
2.7.2	A more moderate defense of consensus?	146
2.8	The discourse-theoretical argument: a moderate version	149
2.8.1	The (alleged) priority of freestanding reasons	149

2.8.2	What defeats what?	151
2.8.3	Back to post-metaphysical rationality	154
2.8.4	The scope of private defeaters	156
2.9	Summary	158
Chapter 3: Appropriateness-based arguments for consensus		161
3.1.	Overview of the argument	161
3.2.	Sincerity and public reason	162
3.2.1	The argument from sincerity	162
3.2.2	Intelligibility and relevance	166
3.2.3	Why the sincerity requirement undermines convergence	169
3.2.4	Why the sincerity requirement does not justify consensus	173
3.3.	Public reason: epistemological and not political	176
3.3.1	The role of moral epistemology	176
3.3.2	The Commonsense View of Shareability	179
3.3.3	The Meta-Convergence View of Shareability	182
3.3.4	The Political View of Shareability	184
3.4.	The argument from publicity	186
3.4.1	The value of full publicity	186
3.4.2	The role of the public sphere	190
3.4.3	Full publicity as a justificatory device	191
3.5.	Other appropriateness-based objections to convergence	194
3.5.1	The argument from normative stability	194
3.5.2	The argument from reasonable compliance	198
3.6	The argument from symmetry	202
3.6.1	Framing the issue	202
3.6.2	The Strong Burden Thesis and the Weak Burden Thesis	205
3.6.3	Freestanding rationales and general interests	207
3.6.4	Why the argument from symmetry seems appealing	210
3.6.5	Why the argument from symmetry is misguided	212
3.7	From convergence to symmetrical convergence	215
3.7.1	The Non-Coercive Rejection Thesis	215
3.7.2	Contested property rights	216
3.7.3	When liberty is not an available default	218
3.7.4	The narrow symmetry objection	221
3.7.5	The Symmetrical Convergence View of Public Reason	223
3.8	The dominant view of public reason rejected	225
3.8.1	Against public reason's Shareability Requirement	225
3.8.2	A further problem	226
3.9	Summary	231

Conclusion	233
Bibliography	241