

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction	13
2. Research Framework	18
2.1. Academic discourse and state of the art	18
2.2. Methodology	20
2.2.1 What is “process tracing”?	21
2.2.2 How will the research be done?	22
2.2.2.1 Assessment criteria	23
2.2.2.2 Data	24
2.2.2.3 Assessment	25
2.3. The concept of “coherence”	26
2.4. The CFSP negotiation mode	31
3. CFSP Institutions, Decision-Making and Tools	36
3.1. General Affairs and External Relations Council (GAERC)	37
3.2. Committee of Permanent Representatives (COREPER)	44
3.3. COEST Working Group	49
4. The European Union’s Neighbourhood Policy	53
4.1. Short history of the European Union’s relations with its neighboring regions	53
4.1.1. EU relations towards neighbors 1960-1990	54
4.1.2. EU relations towards neighbors 1990-2000	57
4.1.3. The “Wider Europe” debate and the origins of the ENP	63
4.2. What is the ENP about?	65
4.3. How will the ENP work?	67
5. Negotiating the ENP	72
5.1. The negotiating timeline and the actors involved	72
5.2. The discussion in the EU institutions	75
5.2.1. The President of the EU Commission	76
5.2.1.1. Strategic preferences	77

5.2.2. The EU High Representative and the Policy Unit	80
5.2.2.1. Strategic preferences	80
5.2.3. The EU Commission	82
5.2.3.1. Strategic preferences	82
5.3. “Old member states’ ” specific interests and negotiating behavior	86
5.3.1. Sweden as the initiator of the ENP?	87
5.3.1.1. Strategic preferences	88
5.3.1.2. Endorsement	92
5.3.2. Italy balancing the EU “Southern Dimension” versus the “Eastern Dimension”?	94
5.3.2.1. Strategic preferences	95
5.3.2.2. Endorsement	101
5.3.3. Germany’s new role between East and West?	104
5.3.3.1. Strategic preferences	105
5.3.3.2. Endorsement	110
5.4. “New member states’ ” specific interests and negotiating behavior	114
5.4.1. Poland as advocate for the “Eastern Dimension”?	116
5.4.1.1. Strategic preferences	116
5.4.1.2. Endorsement	121
5.4.2. Lithuania as promoter of democratization?	125
5.4.2.1. Strategic preferences	125
5.4.2.2. Endorsement	130
5.5 The negotiation matrix	133
5.6. The final ENP document	134
6. The Coherence of the ENP	138
6.1. Internal coherence	139
6.2. External coherence	142
6.2.1. Overall endorsement of the ENP by member states	143
6.2.2. Snapshot of the perception of the ENP	144
6.2.2.1. Political context	145
6.2.2.2. The ENP and Ukraine	149
6.2.2.3. The ENP and Belarus	154
6.2.2.4. ENP how is it perceived?	156

7. Conclusions	160
8. Appendix	166
8.1. ENP History (reconstruction according to documents)	166
8.2. Summary of the Policy Unit Paper	169
8.3. List of Interviewees	170
Bibliography	173
Executive Summary	187