

Contents

1	Introduction	15
2	The Interest in the Judicial Enforcement of EU Law.....	21
2.1	Public Interest Group Litigation as a Decentralised System of European Law Enforcement.....	21
2.2	The European Court System, European Integration and Democratic Governance.....	24
2.3	Empirical Puzzle	27
2.4	Research Question(s).....	28
3	Theoretical Approach	31
3.1	Definitional Issues	31
3.2	Existing Explanations for the Differing Effects of Public Interest Group Litigation.....	33
3.3	The Stage Model.....	36
3.3.1	Legal Preconditions for Public Interest Group Litigation	37
3.3.2	Stage 1: Litigation by Public Interest Groups	39
3.3.3	Stage 2: Interpretation by the National Courts	42
3.3.4	Stage 3: Reaction of the Competent Authorities	43
3.4	Other Possible Explanatory Factors	46
4	Methodological Approach	49
4.1	Research Design.....	49
4.2	Tools of Inquiry, Data Collection and Data Analysis.....	51
4.3	The Policy Area: European Nature Conservation Policy and the Natura 2000 Directives	55
5	The Natura 2000 Directives	59
5.1	The Birds Directive and Hunting Dates.....	59
5.2	The Site Protection Regime of the Birds Directive.....	62
5.2.1	The ECJ's Case Law on the Birds Directive	62
5.2.2	The Protection Regime of the Habitats Directive.....	64
6	France.....	71
6.1	The Setting of Hunting Dates.....	71
6.1.1	Preliminary Remarks: Scientific Evidence and Hunting Dates.....	71
6.1.2	The Context for the Implementation of the Birds Directive.....	72
6.1.3	The Initial Transposition	75
6.1.4	French Environmental Organisations and Litigation	76
6.1.5	The Interpretation of the Birds Directive by French Courts.....	79
6.1.6	Reaction of the Competent Authorities.....	91
6.1.7	The Late Role of the European Commission	99
6.1.8	Ultimately Achieving Compliance	101
6.1.9	Conclusion	103

6.2	The Implementation of the Natura 2000 Network.....	104
6.2.1	The Protracted Process of Designating Natura 2000 Sites	104
6.2.2	The Initial Transposition of the Directives' Site Protection Regime.....	109
6.2.3	Reaction of French Environmental Organisations	112
6.2.4	The French Courts and the Natura 2000 Directives.....	113
6.2.5	Effects of Litigation.....	122
6.2.6	The Role of the European Commission for Achieving Compliance	123
6.2.7	Remaining Implementation Problems	128
6.3	Linking the Empirical Results to the Stage Model.....	129
7	Germany	133
7.1	The Implementation of the Natura 2000 Directives	133
7.1.1	Designation of Sites.....	134
7.1.2	Site Protection Measures.....	137
7.2	Reasons for the Implementation Problems	141
7.3	The Activities of German Environmental Organisations to Achieve Compliance.....	143
7.3.1	Environmental Organisations and Their Access to Courts	147
7.4	The Role of the European Commission.....	151
7.5	Interpretation by German Courts.....	153
7.5.1	Initial Rulings on the Directives' Site Protection Regime	154
7.5.2	Giving Direct Effect to Article 6.....	155
7.5.3	Clarifying the Status of Potential Natura 2000 Sites	158
7.5.4	Applying the Site Protection Regime: Significant Negative Effects, Alternatives, and Overriding Reasons of Public Interest.....	159
7.5.5	Holding the Directives back through Courts.....	164
7.5.6	Assessing the Court's Rulings	165
7.6	Reaction of Environmental Organisations: Restricted Litigation	166
7.7	Effects of Litigation	169
7.8	Linking the Empirical Results to the Stage Model.....	172
8	The Netherlands	175
8.1	The Implementation of the Natura 2000 Directives	175
8.1.1	The Site Protection Regime.....	175
8.1.2	The Designation of Sites.....	178
8.1.3	The Species Protection Regime	180
8.2	Reasons for the Implementation Problems	181
8.3	The Role of the European Commission for the Implementation	184
8.4	Initial Actions Taken by Dutch Environmental Organisations: Blocked Access	186

8.5	The Courts' Interpretation of the Natura 2000 Directives	190
8.5.1	The Site Protection Regime.....	190
8.5.2	The Issue of Site Designation	201
8.5.3	The Issue of Species Protection.....	203
8.5.4	The Reasoning of the Raad van State – The Way the Court Tests.....	206
8.6	Public Interest Group Litigation to Enforce the Directives	211
8.6.1	The Opportunities to Use Litigation.....	211
8.6.2	The Reaction of Environmental Organisations to the Created Opportunities.....	212
8.7	Effects of Litigation	215
8.8	Linking the Empirical Results to the Stage Model.....	219
9	Conclusion.....	221
9.1	Evaluating the Stage Model on the Basis of the Empirical Results	221
9.1.1	The Explanatory Power of the Stage Model.....	221
9.1.2	The Explanatory Power of Alternative Explanations	225
9.1.3	Forgotten Explanatory Factors of the Stage Model?	226
9.2	Litigation as a Decentralised Instrument of European Law Enforcement.....	228
9.3	European Integration, Democratic Governance and Litigation	230
	References.....	233