

Contents

Abbreviations	11
1. Introduction	13
1.1. Ye Rogues!	13
1.2. The Academic Discussion about the Rogue State Concept	15
1.2.1. The Rogue State as an Objective Category	15
1.2.2. The Rogue State Concept in U.S. Foreign Policy	17
1.2.2.1. Objective Representation – The Conservative Perspective	18
1.2.2.2. Subjective Exaggeration – The Liberal Perspective	19
1.2.2.3. True Rogues – The Left-Wing Perspective	23
1.2.2.4. Ideational Structures – The Interpretivist Perspective	24
1.3. Approaching the Topic	25
1.3.1. Ontological and Epistemological Positions	25
1.3.2. Central Research Questions, Methods, and Structure	30
1.3.3. Selecting the Cases - The Core Group of Rogue States	31
2. Theoretical Framework	34
2.1. Wendt’s Theory of International Politics	34
2.1.1. A Challenge to the Traditional Concept of Anarchy	34
2.1.2. Identities and Interests of State Actors	35
2.1.3. Immaterial Micro- and Macro-Structures of a Social System	37
2.1.4. Hobbesian, Lockean and Kantian Cultures of Anarchy	38
2.1.5. Cultural Change	42
2.2. Refining Wendt’s Theory of International Politics	43
2.2.1. Behavioral Patterns of Hobbesian Actors	43
2.2.2. A Second Image Complementation of Wendt’s Approach	48
2.2.2.1. The Neglect of Unit-Level Processes	48
2.2.2.2. Defining Unit-Level Elements and Processes	50
2.2.2.2.1. Culture Bearing Actors	50
2.2.2.2.2. The Process of Interpretation	52
2.3. Specification of the Central Research Questions	57

3. Case Studies I – The Rogue States	58
3.1. North Korea	58
3.1.1. Offensive Revisionism during the East-West Conflict	58
3.1.2. Existential Insecurity after the East-West Conflict	60
3.1.3. North Korea’s External Behavior – Rationality and Defiance	65
3.2. Iran	69
3.2.1. Pre-Revolutionary Factors shaping Iran’s World View	69
3.2.2. Early Revolutionary Iran – Revisionism and “Great Satan”	72
3.2.3. Iran after Khomeini – Revolutionary Icons, Pragmatism, and Fear	77
3.2.3.1. Constrained Revisionism and Internal Fragmentation	77
3.2.3.2. Iran and the USA – Thwarted Détente and Existential Fear	81
3.2.4. Contemporary Iran – Ad Fontes	87
3.2.5. Iran’s External Behavior	90
3.2.5.1. Pragmatism and Rogue Behavior	90
3.2.5.2. Iran’s Nuclear Program	92
3.3. Libya	97
3.3.1. Libya’s Experience of Colonialism	97
3.3.2. The (In)dependent Monarchy and the Seeds of Confrontation	100
3.3.3. Revolutionary Libya	104
3.3.3.1. The Ideological Positions of Mu’ammar al-Qadhafi	104
3.3.3.2. Revolutionary Radicalism and Antagonism with the USA	106
3.3.3.3. Factors Triggering Libya’s Change of Course	110
3.3.3.4. Libya’s Rapprochement with the USA	114
3.3.4. Libya’s Quest for WMD	118
3.4. Iraq	120
3.4.1. The Hobbesian Reality of the Iraqi State	120
3.4.2. Saddam Hussein’s Ascendancy	123
3.4.3. Hussein’s Hobbesian View of the (Inter)National Environment	124
3.4.4. Iran and the USA – Two External Threats to Hussein’s Survival	126
3.4.4.1. The Persian Peril	126
3.4.4.2. The U.S. Threat to Hussein’s Survival	129
3.4.5. Saddam Hussein’s Behavior and Quest for WMD	133
4. Case Study II – The United States	137
4.1. The Rogue State Concept Revisited	137
4.1.1. The Instrumental Character of the Rogue State Concept	137
4.1.2. The Rogue State as a Hobbesian Actor	142
4.1.3. The Rogue State as an Irrational and Risk-prone Actor	148
4.1.4. The Assumption of Irrationality and Risk-Proneness	150
4.1.4.1. Defining Key Concepts	150

4.1.4.2.	Sources of the Assumption	155
4.1.4.3.	Rogue States and Deterrence – A Critical Assessment	161
4.2.	Assessing and Responding to the Rogue State Threat	169
4.2.1.	Basic Determinants of U.S. Policies vis-à-vis Rogue States	169
4.2.2.	From Remote Troublemakers to Existential Risks	171
5.	Conclusion	177
5.1.	Explaining the Rogue State Concept	177
5.2.	A Refinement of Wendt’s Theory	177
5.3.	Rogue States – Hobbesian Actors Facing Existential Threats	179
5.4.	The USA – Dancing with the Wolves	181
5.5.	There may be Wolves in the Woods ...	184
6.	Works Cited	187
	Appendix A: Content Analysis Variables and Results	203
	Appendix B: Corpus for Content Analysis	210