

Table of Contents

- 1 INTRODUCTION** **13**
- Research Impulse 13
- Problem 13
- Structure and Aims 14
- Method 16
- Defining some technical terms 17

- 2 THE BURDEN-SHARING DEBATE** **19**
- 2.1 Why burden sharing? 19
- 2.1.1 Historical outline 19
- EU burden-sharing debate since the 1990's 21
- 2.1.2 Unequal distribution of asylum seekers – statistics 22
- Overall drop in the number of applications 22
- Unequal distribution within the industrialized countries 23
- 2.1.3 The reasons for unequal distribution 24
- 2.1.3.1 Differences in pull factors 25
- Historical ties / networks 25
- The economic factor 26
- The geographic factor 26
- The liberalness factor 26
- The deterrence factor 27
- The outcome of the quantitative analysis 27
- Contribution of the results to the burden-sharing debate 27
- 2.1.3.2 Differences in net marginal perceived costs 28
- 2.2 Burden-sharing instruments – prerequisites and differences 30
- 2.2.1 Prerequisites for agreeing on burden-sharing 30
- What influences the costs and benefits? 31
- 2.2.2 Burden-sharing instruments 32
- Burden-sharing to enhance equity 32
- Burden-sharing to enhance efficiency 33

- 3 EXTERNALISATION OF ASYLUM PROCEDURES** **37**
- 3.1 The UK proposal 37
- 3.1.1 Letter to Costas Simitis 37
- Regional Protection Areas 38
- Transit Processing Centres 39
- 3.1.2 The EU response 39
- The Brussels European Council 39

Report of the European Commission	39
Current state	40
3.1.3 The UNHCR response	41
3.1.4 The EU Member State responses	41
3.1.5 The Danish Memorandum.....	42
Protection Zone.....	42
Transit Processing Centre	43
3.2 UNHCR's three pronged proposal.....	44
3.2.1 The regional prong	44
3.2.2 The EU prong.....	45
3.2.3 The revised EU-prong proposal	46
A clearer administrative structure.....	46
Procedures.....	46
The next steps	47
3.2.4 The domestic prong.....	47
3.3 Otto Schily's contribution to the asylum debate.....	49
3.3.1 Background of Schily's proposal	49
3.3.2 More safety for African refugees	50
3.3.3 Reactions on Otto Schily's idea.....	51
The German pros.....	52
The German opponents	52
3.3.4 Current state	53
3.4 The idea is neither new nor a European speciality	54
3.4.1 Australia's South Pacific Solution.....	55
3.4.2 The United States experiences	56
3.5 Synthesis.....	58
4 THE ADEQUACY OF EU RECEPTION CAMPS	61
4.1 Overview of circulating opinions.....	61
4.1.1 Supporting voices by the majority of the EU Member States	61
4.1.2 Voices of rejection from reputed organisations	62
4.2 Analysis on the basis of quality criteria	65
4.2.1 The aim of extra-territorial processing.....	66
How is it defined?.....	66
Does the project react in an appropriate way?.....	67
Is sustainability of the project given?	69
Were results of former evaluations considered?	69
Potential risks and unrealistic components	70

4.2.2 Are the externalisation plans lawful?.....	74
Processing outside the application country.....	74
Transfer of responsibility.....	76
Detention.....	77
Inhuman treatment.....	79
Discrimination.....	79
Common rules across the EU.....	80
Collective Expulsion.....	80
4.2.3 Would the principle of international solidarity be fulfilled?.....	81
What exactly is international solidarity?.....	81
Externalisation in the context of international solidarity.....	82
4.2.4 Resources – comprehensively elaborated and realistic?.....	83
4.2.5 Is the externalisation idea politically useable?.....	85
What is the NIMBY principle?.....	86
Externalisation in the context of the NIMBY principle.....	87
It's a good time for it.....	88
4.2.6 Is extra-territorial processing feasible?.....	90
4.3 Efficiency versus equity – an economic qualitative analysis.....	91
A qualitative analysis.....	93
4.3.1 Necessity of state intervention – does market failure occur?.....	93
What is market failure?.....	94
What kind of good refers to asylum?.....	95
Does market failure occur?.....	96
4.3.2 Externalisation - a more efficient burden sharing mechanism?....	96
4.3.2.1 What kind of good is protection?.....	97
4.3.2.2 Monetary and/or perceived costs?.....	99
4.3.2.3 Which efficiency criterion and who would profit from it?.....	100
Productive efficiency.....	101
Does externalisation correspond to productive efficiency?.....	102
“The Ricardian theory of comparative advantages”.....	103
“New economic theory of alliances”.....	103
The incorporation of the external solution into these theories....	104
Allocative efficiency.....	106
Productive and allocative efficiency by way of illustration.....	108
Dynamic efficiency.....	110
4.3.3 Externalisation - a more equitable burden sharing system?.....	111
4.3.3.1 The egalitarian view of equity.....	111
4.3.3.2 The Rawlsian view of equity.....	112
4.3.3.3 The utilitarian view of equity.....	113
4.3.3.4 The market-oriented view of equity.....	114
4.3.4 Conclusion – more equity or more efficiency?.....	115

5 CONCLUSIONS	117
Causes.....	117
Potential consequences.....	118
Synthesising the results	119
Impulse for further research.....	120
Bibliography	121
List of Figures.....	129
List of Acronyms.....	130