

Contents

<i>List of Tables</i>	8
<i>List of Figures</i>	9
<i>List of acronyms</i>	10
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	15
1 Introduction: In search of a ‘logic of lobbying’	17
1.1 Methodology	19
1.2 Operationalisation and structure	22
2 Theoretical foundations of the ‘logic of lobbying’: Exchange, principal-agent relations and previous efforts to conceptualise interest intermediation	25
2.1 European and American concepts of interest intermediation	25
2.1.1 A differentiation of research streams	30
2.1.2 A methodological divide	40
2.2 A new focus with modified concepts: Why the principal-agent approach is necessary and how it is applied	43
3 Identifying demand and supply: A macro-level analysis of public and private interests in EU decision-making processes	59
3.1 Governmental actors: Demanding information, monopolising influence?	60
3.1.1 The European Commission	61
3.1.2 The European Parliament	65
3.1.3 The Council of the European Union	68
3.1.4 Inter- and intra-institutional power dynamics	70
3.2 Non-governmental actors: Information suppliers, influence seekers?	71
3.2.1 Business	73
3.2.2 Public interests	83
3.3 EU decision-making – an unbalanced exchange	88
4 Lobbying as a delegated task: Principals, agents and risks – a meso-level analysis	93

4.1	A literature review on EU lobbying delegation	94
4.1.1	Collective agents of EU lobbying	96
4.1.2	In-house lobbyists	104
4.1.3	Political consultants	111
4.1.4	Regional offices	115
4.1.5	Summarising remarks	119
4.2	Empirical consequences of delegation: Improving the negotiation position, risking agent-shirk	120
4.2.1	Euro Groups	123
4.2.2	In-house lobbyists	127
4.2.3	Political consultants	134
4.2.4	Regional offices	138
4.2.5	Summarising and comparing agent use in EU lobbying	141
4.3	Connecting the Macro- and Meso level: Further research needs for a micro-level study	146
5	Empirical accounts from IT and transport: Hypothesis-testing on the micro level	153
5.1	Mapping actor perceptions of lobbyists in IT and transport: Findings of an online survey	157
5.1.1	Survey responses	161
5.1.2	Survey conclusions	181
5.2	Case studies in maritime transport and telecommunications: Research design and selection criteria	185
5.3	Cases 1 and 2: Multinational companies, experience, priorities and lobbying strategies	189
5.3.1	TotalfinaElf and the Erika packages: Lobbying maritime safety measures	190
5.3.1.1	Legislative consequences on the European level	191
5.3.1.2	Lobbying strategies	192
5.3.1.3	TotalfinaElf and the policy outcomes	195
5.3.1.4	Analysis: Successful lobbying or adjusted interests?	196
5.3.2	Ericsson and data privacy: Hardware company lobbying in the IT sector	201
5.3.2.1	The legislation: 'Opt in' vs. 'opt out'	202
5.3.2.2	Lobbying strategies and policy outcome	205
5.3.2.4	Analysis: Success by chance	208

5.4	Cases 3 and 4: SME lobbying – lacking weight and control	212
5.4.1	SMEs in maritime transport: Boat engine producers, ICOMIA and the Recreational Craft Directive	212
5.4.1.1	Legislative activities: Abolishing trade barriers in the boating business	213
5.4.1.2	Lobbying strategies	215
5.4.1.3	Policy outcomes	219
5.4.1.5	Analysis: Strong agents, weak principals	220
5.4.2	QSC, regulative frameworks and local loop unbundling: David against Goliath?	223
5.4.2.1	The legislative background: Liberalising telecommunications	224
5.4.2.2	Lobbying strategies	226
5.4.2.3	Policy outcomes related to lobbying strategies	228
5.4.2.4	Analysis: A right and a wrong move at the right time and place	229
5.5	Cases 5 and 6: Public interests in transport and IT	232
5.5.1	Danish climate protectors and the European Climate Control Package	232
5.5.1.1	The Background: Kyoto and the EU	233
5.5.1.2	Lobbying strategies and their results	235
5.5.1.3	Analysis: Alibi participants or important negotiation partners?	242
5.5.2	INTUG and leased lines: Business fighting for consumers	243
5.5.2.1	The legislative background	244
5.5.2.3	Lobbying strategies and policy outcomes	246
5.5.2.4	Analysis: INTUG – the Commission’s tool?	251
5.6.	Case study cross-comparison: Connecting the general framework with external impacts	252
6	Conclusions: Logics and lobbyists in EU decision-making – real dragons or false windmills?	269
	<i>Annex I: Interview questions</i>	279
	<i>Annex II: Survey questionnaire</i>	281
	<i>Bibliography</i>	301