

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 9 |
| 1.1 Topic and Research Interest: How does Informality Matter in Urban Planning? | 10 |
| 1.2 Othering and Urban Planning: Urban Spaces In-Between the Self and the Other | 16 |
| 1.3 Mexico City: Fragmented Urbanization | 19 |
| 1.4 Socio-Political Inequalities and the Urban: Habitat, Property and Urban Informality | 24 |
| 1.4.1 A General Understanding of Socio-Political Inequality as Access to Goods | 26 |
| 1.4.2 Inequality and Urban Space: The Right to the City | 28 |
| 1.4.3 Performing Urban Informality in Claims to Habitat | 33 |
| 1.5 Outline of the Study | 35 |
| PART 1 – DECENTERING URBAN STUDIES: LEARNING FROM INSIGHTS IN OTHER CONTEXTS | 37 |
| 2. Urbanity and Global Cities | 40 |
| 2.1 Spaces of Modernization: Rethinking Global City in Contextual Specificity | 41 |
| 2.2 De-Centering Urban Studies: Urban Studies as a Transnational Field | 50 |
| 2.2.1 From Universal to Relational Approaches to the Urban | 55 |
| 2.2.2 Spatializing Otherness: Rethink the Multitemporal Heterogeneity of Urban Informality | 60 |
| 2.2.3 The Study Object of Decentering: Emplacing Power at the Peripheries of Urban Forms | 69 |
| 2.3 Conclusions: The Double-Movement of Decentering | 70 |
| 3. Heterotopia and Urban Planning | 73 |
| 3.1 Emplacement: A Relational Account to Space, Place and Body | 75 |
| 3.2 Operationalizing Emplacements: Subjectivity, Architecture and Urban Planning | 86 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------|
| 3.2.1 | Heterotopology and Normalization | 86 |
| 3.2.2 | Heterotopology and Architecture | 91 |
| 3.2.3 | Heterotopology and Urban Planning | 96 |
| 3.3 | Colonial Spatiality and Urban Forms | 100 |
| 3.3.1 | Decentering Heterotopology: From Coloniality to Internal Colonialism | 101 |
| 3.3.2 | Applying Heterotopology: The Colonial Legacy of Transnational Urban Planning | 103 |
| 3.4 | Conclusions: Entanglements, Internal Colonialism and Corporeality of Urban Forms | 107 |
| 4. | Studying Urban Informality as a Socio-Political Category in Urban Planning: The Approach of Doing Informality | 110 |
| 4.1 | Urban Informality Beyond State-Centered Planning and Economic Liberalism | 112 |
| 4.2 | The Traveling of “Urban Informality” | 117 |
| 4.2.1 | Urban Informality as Technical Category in Urban Developmentalism | 118 |
| 4.2.2 | Urban Informality and Marginalization | 122 |
| 4.2.3 | Informality as Mode of Space Production and its Colonial Legacy | 127 |
| 4.3 | Doing (Urban) Informality and Heterotopic Analysis: Investigating Narratives of Distinction and Formation of Subject Positions | 132 |
| 4.4 | Research Setting: Entangling Emplacements in Urban Configurations | 137 |
| 4.4.1 | Urban Configuration 1: Emplacing Frontiers in the Historic Center | 141 |
| 4.4.2 | Urban Configuration 2: Emplacing Frontiers in Zona Poniente | 142 |
| 4.4.3 | Methods to Study Doing Informality: Problem Focused Interviews | 145 |
| 4.5 | Conclusions: Decentering Urban Informality | 150 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| PART 2 – ENTANGLING URBAN AREAS AND FORMS: PERIPHERALIZATION IN MEXICO CITY’S HISTORIC CENTER AND THE ZONA PONIENTE | 153 |
| 5. The Making of the Historic Center | 156 |
| 5.1 Spatializing Otherness in the Historic Center | 159 |
| 5.2 The Invention of the Historic Center | 165 |
| 5.2.1 Gentrifiers’ Frontier | 166 |
| 5.2.2 Antecedents of the Center’s “Revitalization”: Between Nation and Society | 173 |
| 5.2.3 Between Diversity and Entrepreneurialism: Economics, Aesthetics and Ethics of Informality as Difference | 177 |
| 5.2.4 Emplacing the Frontier: Formalization and the Criminalization of Informality | 183 |
| 5.3 Emplacing Claims to Habitat: Doing Informality in Housing Struggles | 191 |
| 5.3.1 Contextualizing the Struggles in the Movimiento Urbano Popular | 193 |
| 5.3.2 Emplacing Solidarity: República de Argentina 64 and Gendered/Ethnicized Alliances | 195 |
| 5.3.3 Emplacing Distinction: Academia 33 and “Revitalization” as Opportunity | 200 |
| 5.3.4 Emplacing the Rural-Urban Frontier: Mesones 138 and Strategic Indigeneity | 207 |
| 5.4 Conclusions | 212 |
| 6. Beyond Privatopia: Doing Informality in Huixquilucan, Mexico City | 216 |
| 6.1 Privatopia and the Gated Communities Debate in Local Context | 222 |
| 6.2 Urban Planning for Modernization in Mexico City: The Case of Santa Fe | 228 |
| 6.3 The Invention of Huixquilucan’s Territory – A Brief Historical Account | 231 |
| 6.3.1 Early Urban Planning in “Huitzililucan” | 231 |
| 6.3.2 Spatial Differentiation and Social Classes | 233 |
| 6.3.3 Signifying Informality in Local Context | 237 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 6.4 Emplacing Privatopia: Doing Informality in Huixquilucan | 239 |
| 6.4.1 Emplacing Justification: Real Estate Developers and the Staging of Othering | 244 |
| 6.4.2 Emplacing Distinction: Homeowner Associations and the Practice of Defense | 251 |
| 6.4.3 Emplacing Privilege: Ambivalent Alliances and the Incorporation of Informality | 258 |
| 6.4.4 Urban Configurations of Informality: Emplacing Justification, Distinction and Privilege | 271 |
| 6.5 Beyond Privatopia: Othering and the Colonial Gesture of Privilege | 273 |
| 6.6 Conclusions | 283 |
| 7. From Performing Urban Informality to Decentering Urban Studies | 288 |
| 7.1 Informality as an Empty Signifier | 288 |
| 7.2 Recalling the Argumentative Steps | 291 |
| 7.3 Entangling Urban Emplacements | 294 |
| 7.3.1 Emplacements of Othering and the Heterogeneous Uses of Urban Informality in Social Interaction | 295 |
| 7.3.2 Urban Socio-Political Inequalities and the Interdependency of Urban Configurations | 298 |
| 7.3.3 Learning from the Narratives of Distinction | 301 |
| 7.4 Seeing Like the Urban: Informality Matters | 304 |
| 8. Bibliography | 309 |
| APPENDICES | 345 |
| Appendix 1: Habitat Social (Image) | 346 |
| Appendix 2: List of Conducted Interviews | 347 |
| Appendix 3: Questionnaire for Homeowner Associations' Presidents and Other Involved Planning Actors | 349 |
| Appendix 4: Questionnaire for Residents | 351 |
| Appendix 5: Abbreviations | 352 |
| Appendix 6: Abstract (short version) | 354 |
| Danksagung | 355 |